UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

		Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 15-20351
v.			CASE NO. 13-20331
HATEM ATAYA, M.D., Defendant.			MAG. JUDGE ELIZABETH A. STAFFORD
		ORDER OF DET	ENTION PENDING TRIAL
	After	conducting a detention hea	aring under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §
3142(1	f), I coı	nclude that these facts requ	aire that Defendant be detained pending trial.
Part I	– Find	lings of Fact	
A.	_	ility. This case is eligible e reasons checked below	for a Detention Hearing (18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)), in this Part I A:
□(1)	Under	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), u involves	pon the government's motion in a case that
	□(a)		plation of section 1591, or an offense listed in a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or
	□(b)	an offense for which the	maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death;
		or	
	□(c)		aximum term of imprisonment of ten years or Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-

971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46; or

904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-

	□(d)	any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or	
	□(e)	any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:	
		\Box (i) a minor victim, or	
		\square (ii) the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in section 921), or	
		\square (iii) any other dangerous weapon, or	
		\square (iv) involves a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250.	
⊠(2)		nder 18 U.S.C. 3142(f)(2), upon the government's motion or the court's own otion in a case that involves	
	⊠(a)	a serious risk that such person will flee; or	
	□(b)	a serious risk that such person will obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice, or threaten, injure, or intimidate, or attempt to threaten, injure, or intimidate, a prospective witness or juror.	
В.	Rebuttable Presumption. A rebuttable presumption for detention exists in this case if reasons are checked below in this Part I B.		
(1)	Defendant on Release Pending Trial (18 U.S.C. § 3142 (e)(2)): A rebupresumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonathe safety of another person or the community arises when		
		Defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), and has previously been convicted of a crime listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or comparable state or local offense; and	
	□(b)	The offense was committed while Defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state, or local offense; and	

	\Box (c)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since			
		\Box (i) the date of conviction, or			
		\Box (ii) Defendant's release from prison.			
(2)	Probable Cause Findings (18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)): A rebuttable presumptio that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the person as required and the safety of the community arises w there is probable cause to believe that Defendant has committed an offense				
	□(a)	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46; or			
	□(b)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device in relation to a crime of violence or drug trafficking crime), 18 U.S.C. § 956(a) (conspiracy to kill, kidnap, maim, or injure persons or damage property in a foreign country), or 18 U.S.C. § 2332b (acts of terrorism transcending national boundaries); or			
	□(c)	listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (federal crimes of terrorism) for which the prison term is 10 or more years; or			
	□(d)	under Chapter 77 of Title 18, United States Code, for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed (i.e., 18 U.S.C. §§ 1581, 1583, 1584, 1589, and 1594)(slavery); or			
	□(e)	involving a minor victim as listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)(E).			
Part 1	II – Sta	tement of the Reasons for Detention			
	I find	that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing			
establ	ishes				
	by clear and convincing evidence that, for the reasons set forth below, there is no ondition or combination of conditions which will reasonably assure the safety of the ommunity; or				

\boxtimes	by a preponderance of the evidence that, for the reasons set forth below, there i	S
no cor	lition or combination of conditions which will reasonably assure Defendant's	
appear	nnce; or	

 \Box both of the above.

Statement of reasons for detention pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3142(i):

Ataya is facing, in this Court, the charges of conspiracy to commit health care fraud and wire fraud, and conspiracy to pay and receive kickbacks. Additionally, he is charged in Lapeer County Circuit Court with six counts of delivery of a controlled substance, three of which are alleged to have caused death and carry a sentence of up to life in prison. Further, Ataya stated during his pretrial services interview that his wife and children are his only family members to reside in the Eastern District of Michigan, as his parents and five siblings live in Egypt, and two other siblings live in the United Arab Emirates. Finally, the Government proferred that Ataya has wire-transferred over \$1,000,000 to recipients in Syria, Dubai, Eygpt and Lebanon (mostly to family members), and that he has foreign business interests, including an interest in a company called DNA Health Corp. Thus, the very serious criminal charges that Ataya is facing give him a strong incentive to take his wife and children and to flee the country; his incentive to flee is buttressed by his strong family ties to foreign jurisdictions; and he has the financial means to flee and to support his family outside of this country.

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

Defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable,

2:15-cr-20351-SFC-MKM Doc # 51 Filed 06/22/15 Pg 5 of 5 Pg ID 109

from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. Defendant

must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On

order of a United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the

person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver Defendant to the United States

Marshal for a court appearance.

Review of this Order is governed by 18 U.S.C. § 3145 and E.D. Mich. L.R. 57.2.

Date: June 22, 2015

S/ELIZABETH A. STAFFORD

Elizabeth A. Stafford

United States Magistrate Judge